

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

08/052/102 3/27/97 6140 0866/02/103

<p>EXAMINER</p> <p><i>NGUYEN</i></p>	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2787	8

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2010

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) remain eligible to enter subsequent rounds of the challenge. The challenge is open to all participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) who are not currently employed by the PTO. The challenge is open to all participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) who are not currently employed by the PTO. The challenge is open to all participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) who are not currently employed by the PTO.

(1) Nguyen Nguyen (Exams) (3)

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 8 10

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Exam, her

Afternoon

line 11, and delete "and" in claim 10 line 11. All

of removal and to be inserted in delete and Examiner's Remarks

of record has grown to over 100,000. A comparison of the number of cases in the following table shows

Attorney has also agreed to add when a note is the type of error

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be submitted with the appeal.)

must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION

IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MRPI Section 7.13.04.) In a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW [The following is a summary of the substance of the interview conducted on 11/11/2011 between the undersigned and the subject of this report, who has been interviewed on several occasions. The subject has been interviewed on 11/11/2011, 11/12/2011, 11/13/2011, 11/14/2011, 11/15/2011, 11/16/2011, 11/17/2011, 11/18/2011, 11/19/2011, 11/20/2011, 11/21/2011, 11/22/2011, 11/23/2011, 11/24/2011, 11/25/2011, 11/26/2011, 11/27/2011, 11/28/2011, 11/29/2011, 11/30/2011, 12/1/2011, 12/2/2011, 12/3/2011, 12/4/2011, 12/5/2011, 12/6/2011, 12/7/2011, 12/8/2011, 12/9/2011, 12/10/2011, 12/11/2011, 12/12/2011, 12/13/2011, 12/14/2011, 12/15/2011, 12/16/2011, 12/17/2011, 12/18/2011, 12/19/2011, 12/20/2011, 12/21/2011, 12/22/2011, 12/23/2011, 12/24/2011, 12/25/2011, 12/26/2011, 12/27/2011, 12/28/2011, 12/29/2011, 12/30/2011, 12/31/2011, 1/1/2012, 1/2/2012, 1/3/2012, 1/4/2012, 1/5/2012, 1/6/2012, 1/7/2012, 1/8/2012, 1/9/2012, 1/10/2012, 1/11/2012, 1/12/2012, 1/13/2012, 1/14/2012, 1/15/2012, 1/16/2012, 1/17/2012, 1/18/2012, 1/19/2012, 1/20/2012, 1/21/2012, 1/22/2012, 1/23/2012, 1/24/2012, 1/25/2012, 1/26/2012, 1/27/2012, 1/28/2012, 1/29/2012, 1/30/2012, 1/31/2012, 2/1/2012, 2/2/2012, 2/3/2012, 2/4/2012, 2/5/2012, 2/6/2012, 2/7/2012, 2/8/2012, 2/9/2012, 2/10/2012, 2/11/2012, 2/12/2012, 2/13/2012, 2/14/2012, 2/15/2012, 2/16/2012, 2/17/2012, 2/18/2012, 2/19/2012, 2/20/2012, 2/21/2012, 2/22/2012, 2/23/2012, 2/24/2012, 2/25/2012, 2/26/2012, 2/27/2012, 2/28/2012, 2/29/2012, 2/30/2012, 3/1/2012, 3/2/2012, 3/3/2012, 3/4/2012, 3/5/2012, 3/6/2012, 3/7/2012, 3/8/2012, 3/9/2012, 3/10/2012, 3/11/2012, 3/12/2012, 3/13/2012, 3/14/2012, 3/15/2012, 3/16/2012, 3/17/2012, 3/18/2012, 3/19/2012, 3/20/2012, 3/21/2012, 3/22/2012, 3/23/2012, 3/24/2012, 3/25/2012, 3/26/2012, 3/27/2012, 3/28/2012, 3/29/2012, 3/30/2012, 3/31/2012, 4/1/2012, 4/2/2012, 4/3/2012, 4/4/2012, 4/5/2012, 4/6/2012, 4/7/2012, 4/8/2012, 4/9/2012, 4/10/2012, 4/11/2012, 4/12/2012, 4/13/2012, 4/14/2012, 4/15/2012, 4/16/2012, 4/17/2012, 4/18/2012, 4/19/2012, 4/20/2012, 4/21/2012, 4/22/2012, 4/23/2012, 4/24/2012, 4/25/2012, 4/26/2012, 4/27/2012, 4/28/2012, 4/29/2012, 4/30/2012, 5/1/2012, 5/2/2012, 5/3/2012, 5/4/2012, 5/5/2012, 5/6/2012, 5/7/2012, 5/8/2012, 5/9/2012, 5/10/2012, 5/11/2012, 5/12/2012, 5/13/2012, 5/14/2012, 5/15/2012, 5/16/2012, 5/17/2012, 5/18/2012, 5/19/2012, 5/20/2012, 5/21/2012, 5/22/2012, 5/23/2012, 5/24/2012, 5/25/2012, 5/26/2012, 5/27/2012, 5/28/2012, 5/29/2012, 5/30/2012, 5/31/2012, 6/1/2012, 6/2/2012, 6/3/2012, 6/4/2012, 6/5/2012, 6/6/2012, 6/7/2012, 6/8/2012, 6/9/2012, 6/10/2012, 6/11/2012, 6/12/2012, 6/13/2012, 6/14/2012, 6/15/2012, 6/16/2012, 6/17/2012, 6/18/2012, 6/19/2012, 6/20/2012, 6/21/2012, 6/22/2012, 6/23/2012, 6/24/2012, 6/25/2012, 6/26/2012, 6/27/2012, 6/28/2012, 6/29/2012, 6/30/2012, 7/1/2012, 7/2/2012, 7/3/2012, 7/4/2012, 7/5/2012, 7/6/2012, 7/7/2012, 7/8/2012, 7/9/2012, 7/10/2012, 7/11/2012, 7/12/2012, 7/13/2012, 7/14/2012, 7/15/2012, 7/16/2012, 7/17/2012, 7/18/2012, 7/19/2012, 7/20/2012, 7/21/2012, 7/22/2012, 7/23/2012, 7/24/2012, 7/25/2012, 7/26/2012, 7/27/2012, 7/28/2012, 7/29/2012, 7/30/2012, 7/31/2012, 8/1/2012, 8/2/2012, 8/3/2012, 8/4/2012, 8/5/2012, 8/6/2012, 8/7/2012, 8/8/2012, 8/9/2012, 8/10/2012, 8/11/2012, 8/12/2012, 8/13/2012, 8/14/2012, 8/15/2012, 8/16/2012, 8/17/2012, 8/18/2012, 8/19/2012, 8/20/2012, 8/21/2012, 8/22/2012, 8/23/2012, 8/24/2012, 8/25/2012, 8/26/2012, 8/27/2012, 8/28/2012, 8/29/2012, 8/30/2012, 8/31/2012, 9/1/2012, 9/2/2012, 9/3/2012, 9/4/2012, 9/5/2012, 9/6/2012, 9/7/2012, 9/8/2012, 9/9/2012, 9/10/2012, 9/11/2012, 9/12/2012, 9/13/2012, 9/14/2012, 9/15/2012, 9/16/2012, 9/17/2012, 9/18/2012, 9/19/2012, 9/20/2012, 9/21/2012, 9/22/2012, 9/23/2012, 9/24/2012, 9/25/2012, 9/26/2012, 9/27/2012, 9/28/2012, 9/29/2012, 9/30/2012, 10/1/2012, 10/2/2012, 10/3/2012, 10/4/2012, 10/5/2012, 10/6/2012, 10/7/2012, 10/8/2012, 10/9/2012, 10/10/2012, 10/11/2012, 10/12/2012, 10/13/2012, 10/14/2012, 10/15/2012, 10/16/2012, 10/17/2012, 10/18/2012, 10/19/2012, 10/20/2012, 10/21/2012, 10/22/2012, 10/23/2012, 10/24/2012, 10/25/2012, 10/26/2012, 10/27/2012, 10/28/2012, 10/29/2012, 10/30/2012, 10/31/2012, 11/1/2012, 11/2/2012, 11/3/2012, 11/4/2012, 11/5/2012, 11/6/2012, 11/7/2012, 11/8/2012, 11/9/2012, 11/10/2012, 11/11/2012,

2. ☒ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, reflections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form

is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.